UNITED STATED TO STATED

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region 1 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 BOSTON, MA 02109-3912

and the posterior sectors RECEIVED

U.S. E.P.A.

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

ne verine quiv

January 5, 2011

Eurika Durr, Clerk of the Board (MC 1103B) Environmental Appeals Board U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460-001

Albert Sheridan, Plant Manager Barnhardt Manufacturing Company 247 Main Road Colrain, MA 01340

Peter J. Feuerbach, Esq. Keren Schlomy, Esq. Rubin and Rudman LLP 50 Rowes Wharf Boston, MA 02110

Re: Notice of Contested and Uncontested Conditions of NPDES Permit No. MA0003697 Barnhardt Manufacturing Company NPDES Appeal No. 10-17

Dear Ms. Durr, Mr. Sheridan, Mr. Feuerbach, and Ms. Schlomy:

On November 24, 2010 the Barnhardt Manufacturing Company ("Permittee") filed a Petition for Review ("Petition") of NPDES Permit No. MA0003697 ("Permit") with the Environmental Appeals Board ("Board") pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 124.19(a). The Permit had been reissued to the Permittee on October 26, 2010 by the New England Regional Office of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("Region"). The Permit superseded the permit issued by the Region on March 26, 2001 and modified on August 17, 2004 ("Prior Permit").

In its Petition, the Permittee contests the following limitations and conditions of the Permit:

- 1. The maximum daily ammonia-nitrogen effluent limit of 42 lb/day, at Part I.A.1, on p. 3;
- 2. The acute whole effluent toxicity (LC50) effluent limit of 100%, at Part I.A.1, on p. 4;
- 3. The acute toxicity Best Management Practices requirement, at Part I.C.1.d, on p. 9;
- 4. The nitrogen Best Management Practices requirement, at Part I.C.1.e, on p. 9; and

## Notice of Contested and Uncontested Conditions

Barnhardt Manufacturing Company - NPDES Permit No. MA0003697, NPDES Appeal No. 10-17

5. The nitrogen optimization requirement, at Part I.C.2, on p. 9.

The limitations and conditions contested by the permittee are collectively referred to herein as the "Contested Conditions." Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 124.16(a)(2)(ii) and 124.60(b), this letter notifies you of my determination that the Contested Conditions are stayed until final agency action under 40 C.F.R. § 124.19(f). With respect to each of the Contested Conditions, the corresponding term in the Prior Permit, if any, shall remain in effect pending resolution of Board proceedings.

All conditions of the Permit other than the aforementioned Contested Conditions are uncontested and severable from the Contested Conditions. Thus, all of the other conditions are not stayed and will become fully effective enforceable obligations of the Permit thirty days after the date of this notice, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 124.16(a)(2)(i) and 124.60(b)(5).

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please feel free to contact Ronald Fein, the Region's legal counsel in this matter at 617-918-1040, or Nicole Kowalski, in the Region's Office of Ecosystem Protection, at 617-918-1746.

Sincerely,

Row Lift Actine for

H. Curtis Spalding Regional Administrator

cc: David Ferris, MassDEP Stephen Perkins, EPA Damien Houlihan, EPA Denny Dart, EPA